Francis J. Flynn, Jr. (SBN 304712) LAW OFFICE OF FRANCIS J. FLYNN, JR. 6057 Metropolitan Plz. Los Angeles, California 90036 Tele: (314) 662-2836 Email: casey@lawofficeflynn.com Counsel for Petitioner SCOTT THOMPSON, as guardian ad litem of A.T., a minor

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SCOTT THOMPSON,)	
individually and as parent and)	Case No. 2:23-cv-09441-MWC-SK
guardian of his Minor Child A.T.,)	
and DANIELLE THOMPSON,)	PETITION TO APPROVE
individually and on behalf of all)	COMPROMISE OF MINOR'S
others similarly situated,)	CLAIM
-)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	[FILED UNDER SEAL
V.)	PURSUANT TO ORDER OF
)	THE COURT DATED 3/17/2025
THE WALT DISNEY)	and 3/21/2025]
COMPANY;	(-
DISNEY VACATION CLUB)	
HAWAII MANAGEMENT)	
COMPANY, LLC, D/B/A	(
AULANI, A DISNEY RESORT &)	
SPA, KO OLINA, HAWAI'I;)	
AND DISNEY VACATION)	
DEVELOPMENT, LLC, and)	
)	
)	

DIONEW BADIZO EWBEDIENICEO	
DISNEY PARKS, EXPERIENCES) AND PRODUCTS, INC.,	
Defendants.	
PETITION TO APPROVE COMP	PROMISE OF MINOR'S CLAIM
	ne guardian ad litem of Plaintiff A
T a minor age 12, will and he	ereby does petition this Court for an order
approving the settlement reached by the P	arties, and for the distribution of the net
settlement funds to a Uniform Transfers to	Minors Act ("UTMA") Account for the
benefit of Plaintiff, a minor, under the Unifo	orm Transfers to Minors Act. This Petition
seeks authority from the Court to compromis	se the minor's claim and use the settlement
proceeds to reimburse counsel for costs expe	ended and for reasonable attorney's fees.
This Petition is made on the grounds	that the Court is required to safeguard the
interests of litigants who are minors by	conducting its own inquiry to determine
whether the settlement serves the minor's b	oest interests. See Robidoux v. Rosengren,
638 F.3d 1177, 1181 (9th Cir. 2011). Pursu	ant to Central District of California Local
Rule 17-1.3, this petition conforms to Cal.	Civ. Proc. Code § 372, California Rule of
Court 3.1384, and the Judicial Council of Ca	alifornia MC-350 form.
The following is a description of the	settlement breakdown and total settlement
for Plaintiff A submitted	ted to the Court for approval:
TOTAL SETTLEMENT FUND:	\$ for the 3 Claimants' Cases
TOTAL SETTLEMENT ALLOCATION FOR MINOR A T ::	<u>\$</u>
COSTS CHARGED TO MINOR A T ::	\$0.00
TOTAL ATTORNEYS' FEES CHARGED TO MINOR A T ::	<u>\$</u>

NET RECOVERY FOR MINOR **\$ A**

Counsel represented Petitioner and Plaintiff pursuant to a retainer agreement providing for attorneys' fees of one-third of the recovery, which was later revised by agreement to 25% of the recovery, so the total fee for representing the minor is (or 25% of his gross recovery). In addition, in order to prosecute Plaintiff's action, Plaintiff's counsel advanced costs, which Plaintiff's Counsel has subsequently agreed to waive. Accordingly, Plaintiff's counsel respectfully requests that the Court approve the above-described distribution of the settlement proceeds to Plaintiff. Defense counsel has no objection to the proposed distribution.

This Petition is based on this Petition, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the records and files of this Court, the attached Declaration of **Francis J. Flynn, Jr.**, the exhibits thereto, and on such other evidence as may be presented at or before a hearing on this Petition.

Dated: April 15, 2025 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Francis J. "Casey" Flynn, Jr.

Francis J. "Casey" Flynn, Jr. (SBN 304712) LAW OFFICE OF FRANCIS J. FLYNN, JR.

6057 Metropolitan Plz.

Los Angeles, California 90036

Tele: (314) 662-2836

Email: casey@lawofficeflynn.com

Counsel for Petitioner SCOTT THOMPSON, as Guardian ad Litem of A

(A.T.), a minor

2627

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The underlying case was a complaint for Violation of California Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, Violation of California Legal Remedies Act (CLRA), Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq; violation of various state consumer protection laws, and negligence from harms plaintiffs allegedly sustained as a result of a slippery poolside surface by Defendants THE WALT DISNEY COMPANY; DISNEY VACATION CLUB HAWAII MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC, D/B/A AULANI, A DISNEY RESORT & SPA, KO OLINA, HAWAI'I; AND DISNEY VACATION DEVELOPMENT, LLC, and DISNEY PARKS. EXPERIENCES AND PRODUCTS, INC ("Disney"). As a result of Disney's alleged negligence, minor Plaintiff A ("A.T.") suffered personal injuries, including an abrasion to the head requiring stitches. As a result of Disney's violation of Disney's Violation of California Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, Violation of California Legal Remedies Act (CLRA), Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq; violation of various state consumer protection laws, and negligence, Plaintiffs suffered additional losses.

Petitioner respectfully submits that the Court's concern regarding the allocation of settlement proceeds may be based on a misapprehension of the litigation posture at the time the settlement was reached. In the Court's April 10th 2025 order, the Court indicated that the petition did not sufficiently establish that the parents had standing to recover under a negligence claim based on their child's injury. However, the settlement agreement was entered into prior to the Court's dismissal of Plaintiffs' economic and consumer protection claims. Furthermore, Plaintiffs continue to reserve all rights to appeal the dismissal of the consumer protection and economic claims. Thus, the settlement was structured based on a broader range of claims than those now remaining in the case. Accordingly, the

parents do have standing for the economic damages they received in the settlement.

Furthermore, Plaintiffs are lifelong Disney fans, and this vacation to Aulani, A Disney Resort & Spa, was intended to be a dream experience. The injury suffered by Minor A.T. and the surrounding circumstances of the incident disrupted the family's enjoyment of their long-anticipated trip, including the excitement leading up to it. The family was unable to enjoy the resort as planned, and this affected not only the minor but also his parents.

As of the date of this filing, Minor A.T. does not appear to suffer from any lasting injuries. His medical care was limited to a single visit to an Emergency Room and a single follow-up visit with his primary care pediatrician. There is no indication that any permanent or ongoing medical treatment is necessary. Accordingly, Petitioners respectfully submit that the allocation to Minor A.T. is fair and reasonable under the circumstances, taking into account the nature and extent of his injury, the limited medical treatment required, and the broader context of the family's damages.

Petitioner therefore respectfully requests that the Court approve the instant allocation of settlement proceeds as being in the best interests of Minor A.T. and reconsider its position regarding the scope of damages and claims being settled.

Starting in early August 2024, Plaintiffs Scott Thompson (individually and on behalf of his minor child A.T.) and Danielle Thompson ("Plaintiffs") entered into settlement discussions with Defendant. Declaration of Francis J. Flynn, Jr. ("Flynn Decl."), ¶ 3. After vigorous arm's-length negotiations, on October 21, 2024, the parties agreed to a settlement in principle with Disney for a total payment of \$ to resolve the claims of these 3 claimants. *Id.* The order granting Defendant's motion to dismiss all claims except for negligence was not entered until November 4, 2024 – two weeks after the settlement in principle was entered into. The Parties

memorialized their agreement in a Confidential Settlement and Release of All Claims 1 2 executed February 5, 2025. See Flynn Decl., Ex. 1. The total settlement payment was determined by Scott Thompson, individually 3 and on behalf of A T (A.T.), and Danielle Thompson. Flynn Decl. ¶ 5. 4 SCOTT THOMPSON, guardian ad litem for minor Plaintiff A 5 , hereby submits this memorandum and proposed order for approval of 6 the compromise in this matter, and requests the Court approve the proposed distribution 7 of Plaintiff **A** set of Plaintiff **A** set forth below: 8 9 \$ for the 3 Claimants' Cases TOTAL SETTLEMENT FUND: 10 TOTAL SETTLEMENT FOR 11 MINOR A T 12 COSTS CHARGED TO MINOR \$0.00 A T 13 14 TOTAL ATTORNEYS' FEES CHARGED TO MINOR 15 16 NET RECOVERY FOR MINOR 17 T 18 II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND 19 Litigation a. 20 On November 8, 2023, Plaintiffs brought suit individually and on behalf of all 21 22 23

those similarly situated, asserting claims against Defendants for personal and physical injuries, and/or losses and damages sustained as a result of Defendant's poolside surfaces. On August 9, 2024, Plaintiffs filed the operative Complaint (ECF No. 41), which continued to include the claims of Plaintiff A (a minor who was 10 years old at the time of the incident), by and through Plaintiff's father,

24

2.5

26

27

SCOTT THOMPSON¹ as well as DANIELLE THOMPSON and SCOTT THOMPSON, individually.

In particular, Plaintiffs alleged the following: Throughout the entire FAC and specifically in paragraphs ¶¶ 30-41, Plaintiffs allege that the pool deck area of the resort was excessively slippery and is in an unsafe condition that causes and continues to cause bodily injury to Aulani guests, caused bodily injury to Minor A.T., and prohibited Plaintiffs and the Class from being able to fully utilize enjoy the pool facility. ("Slip-and-Fall Defective Condition") Plaintiffs arrived on Aulani's property on or about March 19, 2023 for a two day, one night stay. (FAC ¶42.) One of the reasons that Plaintiffs stayed at the Disney Aulani Resort and Spa was to enjoy the slides that it advertised. Plaintiffs checked in and while waiting for their rooms to be ready, Plaintiffs attempted to enjoy the poolside amenities and slides. (FAC ¶43.) The Thompson children went down the slides and upon exiting the slide and stepping out of the pool, Minor A.T. (then, age 10) slipped and fell on the slippery surface of the ground, hitting the back of his head and splitting his head open on the corner of the top step of the steps leading outside of the slides area. (FAC ¶44.)

As a result of the injury, Danielle Thompson and Scott Thompson had to take Minor A.T. to the Emergency Room for examination. (FAC ¶45.) The entire ordeal took several hours, hours they should have been relaxing in and around the pool and enjoying the amenities offered at Aulani. (FAC ¶45.) Minor A.T.'s injury required several stitches to close up the wound. (FAC ¶46.) As a result of having a wound requiring stitches, Minor A.T. was unable to get his head wet in the ocean or pool to prevent the wound from being infected for the seven (7+) remaining days of the trip. (FAC ¶46.) Furthermore, the Thompson family was unable to enjoy the rest of their stay at Aulani itself (check out was on March 20th). (FAC ¶46.) Minor A.T. even stayed

¹ Petitioner **SCOTT THOMPSON** has since been appointed Guardian Ad Litem for Plaintiff **A** (ECF No. **61**).

inside until March 21 because of how he felt, and which impacted Minor A.T.'s
family's ability to enjoy Hawaii as well during that time. (FAC. ¶42.) As a result of
Minor A.T.'s fall, Minor A.T. incurred medical expenses. (FAC ¶47.) Furthermore
Plaintiffs "were injured and suffered ascertainable loss, injury-in-fact, and/or
actual damage as a proximate result of Defendants' conduct in that Plaintiffs and
the other Class members incurred costs, including overpaying for their Hotel Stay
and related fees that have suffered a diminution in value." (FAC ¶102). Had
Plaintiffs and the other Class members known about the defective nature of the
Pool Area and related services, they would not have purchased the hotel stay and
related services or would not have paid the prices they paid. (FAC ¶¶ 107, 128).
On August 27, 2024, Disney filed a Motion to Dismiss all but Plaintiffs'
negligence claims and to Strike Class Allegations, which was fully briefed. (See ECF
Nos. 48, 49, 50).
Starting in early August 2024, Plaintiffs Scott Thompson (individually and
on behalf of his minor child A.T.) and Danielle Thompson ("Plaintiffs") entered
into sottlement discussions with Defendant Declaration of Francis I Flynn Ir

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

("Flynn Decl."), ¶ 3. After vigorous arm's-length negotiations, on October 21, 2024, the parties agreed to a settlement in principle with Disney for a total payment of \$ to resolve the claims of these 3 claimants. *Id.*

On November 4, 2024 - two weeks after the settlement in principle was entered into, but prior to the execution of the formal settlement agreement - the Court granted Defendants' Motion to Dismiss all but Plaintiffs' negligence claims and to Strike Class Allegation. (ECF No. 52).

The Parties memorialized their agreement in a Confidential Settlement and Release of All Claims executed February 5, 2025. See Flynn Decl., Ex. 1.

Although the negligence claims of Plaintiffs, including the negligence claims of Plaintiff A Transaction, remain pending in federal court, Plaintiffs expressly reserve the right to appeal the dismissal of their additional claims. The scope of

damages and the allocation were negotiated with the understanding that the injury impacted the family's entire travel experience, including costs incurred in reliance on the anticipated enjoyment of a long-awaited Disney stay. Accordingly, the parents do have standing for the economic damages they are being allocated in the settlement.

The Parties were able to resolve the matter through contentious and hard-fought negotiations which took place over the course of a year.

b. Damages

As a result of the slippery pool surfaces, Plaintiffs sustained the following damages as a result of Plaintiff A T state of the slippery pool surfaces, Plaintiffs sustained the following damages as a result of Plaintiff A T state of the slippery pool surfaces, Plaintiffs sustained the following damages as a result of Plaintiff A state of the slippery pool surfaces, Plaintiffs sustained the following damages as a result of Plaintiff A state of the slippery pool surfaces, Plaintiffs sustained the following damages as a result of Plaintiff A state of the slippery pool surfaces, Plaintiffs sustained the following damages as a result of Plaintiff A state of the slippery pool surfaces are suffaced by the slippery pool surfaces.

		ITEMIZED DAMAGES	
Date	Amount	Description	Bates No.
		Hospital Care and Treatment + Billing The Emergency Group, Inc. MSC 61508 PO Box 1300 Honolulu, HI 96807-1300 (\$713.36)	Thompson_00000 (The Emergency Group, Inc. (Billing)
3/19/23	\$3,645.88	The Queen's Health Systems P.O. Box 320010 Honolulu, HI 96820-0015 (\$2,932.52)	Thompson_000008 Thompson_00009 (Queen's Health Billing); Thompson_000010
			Thompson_000014 (Hospital Care and Treatment Records
3/31/23	\$135.00	Medical Bills (Other) – Eden Pediatrics LLC Care and Treatment + Billing	Thompson_00001 (Billing);
			Thompson_00001

Case 2:23-cv-09441-MWC-SK Document 81 Filed 04/22/25 Page 10 of 17 Page ID #:1004

		T		
1			Eden Pediatrics LLC	(Care and
			1410 Industrial Park Road	Treatment Records)
2			Paris, TN 38242-6099	
3			(0125.00)	
4			(\$135.00)	T1 000027
			Receipts for Flights + Baggage	Thompson_000027- 44
5			 839.00 + \$40.00 + \$30.00 +	44
6			\$1,659.20 + \$479.80 + Value of	
7	3/15/2023-		STL/LAX tickets for H + A	
	3/26/2023	\$3,887.00	who used Points for the flights (1	
8			receipt is missing) at price of Danielle	
9			and Scott Thompson's fares (\$839.00)	
10				Thompson_000017-
			Aulani Invoices	Thompson_000019;
11	3/20/2023	\$830.31		Thompson_000020-
12			David's Vacation Cub Rental's	Thompson_000021
13			Receipts	
			(\$546.00)	
14			(ψ3πο.οο)	
15	3/20/2023-	\$15,538.32		Thompson 000045-
16	3/26/2023		Four Seasons Invoice	Thompson 000048
				1 _
17			Rental Car Receipts	Thompson_000049-
18				Thompson_000055
			Avis (\$100.79)	
19	3/15/2023-	\$1,270.37	TVD 0 D1 HV1 VI 2002 (0.470, 40)	
20	3/28/2023		TURO, BMW X7 2023 (\$458.43)	
21			TUDO Ison Wrongler Unlimited	
			TURO, Jeep Wrangler Unlimited 2021 (\$711.15)	
, , ,			Potential outstanding Aulani invoice	Thompson 000022-
22		Ī	1 ochian outstanding Autain involce	<u> </u>
23		\$284 31		Thompson 000026
23		\$284.31		Thompson_000026
	Dagad		ove, SCOTT THOMPSON, individua	

Based on the above, SCOTT THOMPSON, individually and on behalf of A T and DANIELLE THOMPSON came to the decision to allocate the gross amount of \$ to A T (given his medical expenses incurred equated to \$ and \$ collectively, to Danielle and Scott

26

27

1	Thompson (in their individual capacity) for reimbursement of their respective losses,
2	including payment of their son's medical bills, overpayment for their Hotel Stay
3	and related fees that have suffered a diminution in value. Had Plaintiffs and the
4	other Class members known about the defective nature of the Pool Area and
5	related services, they would not have purchased the hotel stay and related services
6	or would not have paid the prices they paid.
7	c. Attorney's Fees and Costs
8	Petitioner SCOTT THOMPSON and minor Plaintiff A The Transform,
9	and DANIELLE THOMPSON (mother of the minor and spouse of SCOTT
10	THOMPSON) are represented by:
11	Francis J. "Casey" Flynn, Jr.
12	Law Office of Francis J. Flynn, Jr. 6057 Metropolitan Plz.
13	Los Angeles, California 90036
14	Tele: (314) 662-2836 Email: casey@lawofficeflynn.com
15	and
16	Consumer Protection Legal, LLC
17	308 Hutchinson Road
18	Ellisville, Missouri 63011 Tele: (314) 541-0317
19	Email: info@consumerprotectionlegal.com
20	The retainer agreement between Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' attorneys sets the fees
21	The retainer agreement between riamining and riamining automeys sets the rees

The retainer agreement between Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' attorneys sets the fees for attorneys' services at **one-third** (i.e., 33.33%) of the gross recovery. Flynn Decl., Ex. 5. However, the agreement was subsequently modified to waive all expenses and reduced the attorney fee to 25%. This equates to a fee of **\$** (**\$** attributable to A **T** attributable to Scott Thompson, individually, and Danielle Thompson). A 33.33% fee is usual and customary, 25% is even less, and is, therefore, reasonable given the difficulty of the litigation, the significant motions practice involved in this case, the extensive

22

23

24

25

26

27

negotiations, and the contingent nature of the fee. Flynn Decl. ¶ 10. In total, minor Plaintiff A Transport 's attorneys' fees are \$ 10.

Plaintiff A T sattorneys also represent Plaintiffs SCOTT THOMPSON (individually and on behalf of his minor child A.T.) and Danielle Thompson, who are also parties to this settlement. The attorneys do not represent and are not employed by any other party or insurance carrier involved in this matter. Flynn Decl. ¶ 8.

Plaintiff's attorneys have not become involved with the petition, either directly or indirectly, at the instance of any party against whom the claim is asserted or of any party's insurance carrier. Flynn Decl. ¶ 14.

d. Net Recoveries to Minor

The Petitioner respectfully requests that the Court order this final net recovery for the minor to be placed in the UTMA Account described at Flynn Decl., Ex. 7 for the benefit of the minor. Petitioner's spouse and Plaintiff's mother, **DANIELLE THOMPSON**, has established a UTMA Account with [INSERT BANK] in Saint Louis, Missouri. The proposed financial advisors overseeing the UTMA Account are **DANIELLE THOMPSON** (Plaintiff's mother).

The UTMA Account purpose is to fund Plaintiff's education and for long-term capital appreciation. Petitioner believes investing for educational planning and long-term capital appreciation is in Plaintiff's best interest as Plaintiff is roughly **6 years** away from college and the funds in the UTMA Account will be used as a secondary account to assist with educational planning. If Plaintiff does not use the UTMA Account funds for educational purposes, they will be used for continued long-term capital appreciation.

III. LEGAL ARGUMENT

"District courts have a special duty, derived from Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(c), to safeguard the interests of litigants who are minors." *Robidoux v. Rosengren*, 638 F.3d 1177, 1181 (9th Cir. 2011). In evaluating a settlement, the Court

evaluates the fairness of the minor plaintiff's net recovery without regard to the proportion of the total settlement value designated to other plaintiffs and/or counsel. *Id.* at 1182. Generally, courts should approve a settlement so long as the net recovery to the minor plaintiff is fair and reasonable in light of their claims and average recovery in similar cases. *Id.* The primary focus of the court's review is whether the settlement serves the best interests of the minor plaintiff. *Id.* at 1181-82.²

The net recovery to minor Plaintiff A Town of sand and sto SCOTT THOMPSON and DANIELLE THOMPSON, individually, is a fair and reasonable net recovery in this matter and is in the best interests of minor Plaintiff A Town Town .

Although the Court need not consider the amount of attorneys' fees in determining whether a settlement is fair and reasonable, Local Rule 17-1.4 requires the Court to fix the amount of attorney's fees "[i]n all actions involving the claim of a minor . . . whether resolved by settlement or judgment after trial." L.R. 17-1.4. Here, the extensive investigation, the law and motion work early in the case, the objecting and responding to written discovery, all support the reasonableness of the requested attorneys' fees (\$\square\$ attributable to A\square\$ T\square\$, a minor, and attributable to Scott Thompson, individually, and Danielle Thompson).

A. CLARIFICATION OF PRIOR ORDER

Petitioner respectfully submits that the Court's March 26, 2025 Order (Dkt. 74) and the Court's April 10, 2025 Order (Dkt. 80) may be based on a misapprehension of the posture of the case at the time of settlement. In the April 10th 2025 order, the Court indicated that the petition did not sufficiently establish

² Some California district courts apply California state law, rather than the *Robidoux* standard in evaluating the settlement of a minor's state law claims. *See S.C. v. Alaska Airlines, Inc.*, No. CV 20-6245-RSWL-ASX, 2021 WL 3080631, at *2 n.21 (C.D. Cal. July 20, 2021). Under California law, the Court is to determine whether the compromise is in the best interests of the minor. *See Pearson v. Superior Ct.*, 202 Cal. App. 4th 1333, 1338 (2012). This settlement is in the best interests of minor Plaintiff

that the parents had standing to recover under a negligence claim based on their child's injury.

Nevertheless, a settlement in principle was negotiated and entered into prior to the Court's November 4, 2024 Order dismissing Plaintiffs' consumer protection and economic damages claims. Thus, the allocation of settlement proceeds reflected a broader spectrum of claims than those that now remain.

Moreover, Plaintiffs expressly reserve the right to appeal the dismissal of those additional claims. The scope of damages and the allocation were negotiated with the understanding that the injury impacted the family's entire travel experience, including costs incurred in reliance on the anticipated enjoyment of a long-awaited Disney stay. Accordingly, the parents do have standing for the economic damages they are being allocated in the settlement.

B. CONTEXT AND REASONABLENESS OF ALLOCATION

Petitioners are enthusiastic fans of Disney, and the vacation to Aulani was meant to be a part dream family trip. The incident and injury to Minor A.T. disrupted this experience, including the family's enjoyment and use of the resort, and affected the entire vacation. Although Minor A.T. was the only person physically injured, the emotional and logistical consequences extended to the parents.

Importantly, as of the date of this Petition, Minor A.T. does not appear to have sustained any lasting injuries. His treatment was limited to a single emergency room visit, and primary care pediatrician check up, and there is no indication of continuing medical need. In light of this, the proposed allocation of to Minor A.T. is fair and reasonable and reflective of the actual medical expenses, the pain and suffering incurred, and the likelihood of no future damages.

CONCLUSION IV.

)N
ed above, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Court
npromise and settlement of Minor A.T.'s claim as being
ne best interest of the minor and approve the distribution
ollows: (1) § to a UTMA Account for the benefit
, a minor, as described more fully at Flynn Decl.,
SCOTT THOMPSON and DANIELLE THOMPSON,
nore fully at Flynn Decl., Ex. 7; and (3) approve Counsel's
award of attorneys' fees (\$ attributable to A
attributable to Scott Thompson, individually, and
e alternative, Plaintiffs request that the Court makes its
t the Court believes would be a fair division of the
Respectfully submitted,
By: /s/ Francis J. "Casey" Flynn, Jr. Francis J. "Casey" Flynn, Jr. (SBN 304712) LAW OFFICE OF FRANCIS J. FLYNN, JR. 6057 Metropolitan Plz. Los Angeles, California 90036 Tele: (314) 662-2836 Email: casey@lawofficeflynn.com
Counsel for Petitioner SCOTT THOMPSON, as Guardian ad Litem of American, a minor

VERIFICATION

	I, SCOTT	HOMPSON, am the Guardian ad Litem for minor Plaintiff
A	T	and I am the Petitioner. I declare under penalty of perjury
that 1	the foregoing	true and correct.

Dated: 4/15/2025 **SCOTT THOMPSON**

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2 3	I hereby certify that, on $4/22/2025$, I served the foregoing via email on:
4 5 6 7	David C. Marcus Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2400 Los Angeles, California 90071 Email: david.marcus@wilmerhale.com
8 9 10 11 12	Alan E. Schoenfeld Ryan Chabot Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 7 World Trade Center 250 Greenwich Street New York, New York 10007 Email: alan.schoenfeld@wilmerhale.com Email: Ryan.Chabot@wilmerhale.com
5	Dated: 4/22/2025 /s/ Francis J. Flynn, Jr. Francis J. Flynn, Jr.
7	
9	
21	
22 23	
24	
26	
27	
	-17- PETITION TO APPROVE COMPROMISE OF MINOR'S CLAIM